28

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 RUSSELL EDWARD BELL, et al., 7 Case No. 15-cv-01923-WHO Plaintiffs, 8 v. STIPULATED ORDER TO STAY 9 PROCEEDINGS PENDING MDL LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., et al., **CONSIDERATION** 10 Re: Dkt. No. 15 Defendants. 11 12 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation (Dkt. No. 15), it is ordered that: 13 14 1. Defendants' obligation to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint is extended until after the MDL Panel makes a decision on the pending transfer motion; 15 2. The Parties shall notify the Court of the MDL Panel's decision within 10 days of the decision. At that time, the Parties will also provide a proposed response date for Defendants to respond 16 to the Complaint, should the MDL Panel deny the transfer motion. 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 Dated: May 22, 2015 20 21 WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge 22 23 24 25 26 27